Report for: Regulatory Committee - 14 January 2021

Title: Planning Services 2020/21 Quarter 3 Update

Report

authorised by: Rob Krzyszowski, Interim Assistant Director, Planning,

Building Standards & Sustainability

Lead Officer: Dean Hermitage, Head of Development Management

Bryce Tudball, Planning Policy Team Manager

Bob McIver, Head of Building Control

Ward(s) affected: N/A

Report for Key/

Non Key Decision: For information

1. Describe the issue under consideration

A report on the work of the Planning Service during October to December 2020.

2. Recommendations

That this report be noted.

3. Reasons for decision

Not applicable.

4. Alternative options considered

This report is for noting and as such no alternative options were considered.

5. Planning Services 2020/21 Quarter 3 Update

Development Management

- Applications during 2020/21 (1st April 15th December): 2,280
- Applications in same period 2019/20: 2,270
- Number of cases on-hand end of November 2020: 549
- Appeals decided during 2020/21 (1st April 15th December): 39
- Appeals dismissed (won) during 2020/21 (1st April 15th December): 31
- Cumulative performance (applications in time) 2020/21 (1st April 15th December):

Majors: 100%Minors: 94%Others: 97%PS0: 91%

Appendix One explains the categories of applications.



Performance overview

- 5.1 Performance is at 100% for 'Majors' applications and remains at the top quartile in London. Our performance for 'Minor' applications has remained in the top quartile in London at 94%. 'Other' applications are also maintained at top quartile in London at 97%. Performance remains steady and we expect to continue to be top quartile in all categories, despite the year's challenges.
- 5.2 The Government has three measures of performance which the Council must remain within thresholds for. If we breach these thresholds we will be designated as a poorly performing planning authority and developers will then have the option of applying directly to the Planning Inspectorate for planning permission. This would mean that we don't get the fee income for that application but we are still required to undertake the consultation. In addition we lose the democratic right to determine the application. The first of these measures is our performance on a two year rolling period for determination of major applications. We are at 100% for this measure (the threshold is 50%). The second of the government thresholds relates to performance on minor and other applications over a two year period. We are at 97% on this measure (the threshold is 70%). The third of the government thresholds relates to overturns of refusals of applications on appeal and relates to minor and other applications. We are at 1% on this measure (the threshold is to remain below 5%).
- 5.3 So far in 2020/21 (1st April 15th December) we have decided a total of 14 'Major' applications compared to the 13 decided during the same period in the previous financial year. The average time of decision has increased from 130 to 234 days between these time periods, but all of these have been subject to planning performance agreements / extensions of time which are mutually agreed with applicants and encouraged in national guidance. The level of major applications submitted this year has reduced over previous years.
- 5.4 During 2020/21 (1st April 15th December) we have decided 319 'Minor' applications compared to the 306 'Minor' applications decided during the same period in the previous financial year. The average decision day increased from 76 to 84 days (a result of Covid-19 lockdown preventing public consultation earlier in the year, and thus slowing the process).
- 5.5 During 2020/21 (1st April 15th December) we have decided 799 'Other' applications compared to the 782 'Other' applications decided during the same period in 2019/20. The cumulative average decision time has slightly increased from 61 days at the start of the year to 63 days (again due to lockdown), but this is again falling month on month; it peaked at 67 days in Q2.
- 5.6 The length of time taken to validate an application is at an average of 6 days, however this is a product of the systems thinking approach where there is a delay before validation rather than before decision. This statistic is quite static.
- 5.7 The end to end times and the overall numbers of applications received, approved, and refused over previous years is set out below. Reducing the end to end times further will continue to be a focus for the coming year:



	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018-2019	2019- 2020	2020-2021 (1 st April – 15 th Dec)
Received	2951	3479	3907	4019	3399	3574	3094	2280
Approved	2372	2807	2935	3255	2659	2963	2576	1764
Refused	338	470	709	506	385	356	314	341
Average day	73	76	69	61	54	62	63	65

5.8 Officer caseloads are at around 40 throughout the 2020/21 financial year, peaking in Q3 at around 45. The number of on hand applications has increased compared to this time last year notwithstanding our new approach as well as a focus on resolving a backlog of long standing applications. As of the end of November 2020, there were currently 549 on hand applications. The number of applications over 26 weeks is now at around 97. These cases are all complex or awaiting section 106 sign off.

Pre-application advice

- 5.9 During 2020/21 (1st April 15th December) there have been 95 pre-application meetings generating a total of £224,445 of income compared to £249,555 generated from 105 pre-application meetings last year within the same period. In the same period there have been 61 householder pre-application meetings generating £19,200 of income compared to £24,922 generated from 72 last year within the same period.
- 5.10 The use of Planning Performance agreements (PPAs) during the period 2020/21 (1st April 15th December) has generated £266,409 in income from these agreements, compared to £201,872 last year within the same period. The team is encouraging the use of PPAs for a wider range of work.
- 5.11 Meeting the deadline for providing advice following pre-application meetings is steadily improving and continues to be a focus for the team.

Planning Decisions

- 5.12 The Planning Committee has met 6 times in 2020/21 so far (June, July, September, October, November and December) and resolved to grant planning permission for a zero carbon industrial park, 152 homes (10 of these were new council homes), a further 281 homes under Reserved Matters approval, and more than 5,000sqm of commercial, business and cultural uses.
- 5.13 It resolved to refuse against officer recommendation 3 applications totalling 28 residential units, approx 250sqm of commercial space and co-living use.
- 5.14 The final government threshold relates to overturns of refusals (officer and committee) on major applications on appeal. We are currently at 8.7% on this measure (the threshold is to remain below 10%). It should be noted that because the number of major applications that we determine is relatively low it does not need many appeals to be lost to bring us close to this threshold. One more loss would take us over the threshold. We have 2 major appeals pending.



- 5.15 The measure used to measure quality of planning decisions is the percentage of the total number of decisions made by the authority on applications that are then subsequently overturned at appeal, once nine months have elapsed following the end of the assessment period.
- 5.16 The nine months specified in the measure enables appeals to pass through the system and be decided for the majority of decisions on planning applications made during the assessment period. The assessment period for this measure is the two years up to and including the most recent quarter for which data on planning application decisions are available at the time of designation, once the nine months to be allowed for beyond the end of the assessment period is taken into account. For example, a two year assessment period ending March 2021 will be used for designation decisions in Q1 2021/22. This allows for applications to be decided between April 2019 and March 2021 and a 9 month lag back to September 2018 for appeals to be decided (31 months). The average percentage figure for the assessment period as a whole is used.
- 5.17 The threshold for designation on applications for both major and non-major development, above which a local planning authority is eligible for designation, is 10% of an authority's total number of decisions on applications made during the assessment period being overturned at appeal.
- 5.18 Haringey's performance at present is as follows:

Type of application	Number of apps	Number of appeals	Number of overturns	% (Threshold 10%)
Majors	46	6	4	8.7%

- 5.19 The Service has been relatively successful in defending major appeals. We have 2 live appeals on major applications (Guildens, Courtenay Avenue and 10 Gourley Street) with one awaiting validation (300-306 West Green Road). It is possible our decisions may be overturned. The number of major applications dealt with over the past 2 year period is falling. It is possible that we will be at or over the 10% threshold at the end of this year. Bearing in mind a fall in the number of applications being submitted, losing a major appeal becomes significant.
- 5.20 Potential performance figures in March 2021 taking account of the appeals:

Type of	Potential	Number of	Current	Potential %
application	Number of	appeals	Number of	(Threshold
	apps		overturns	10%)
Majors (no more losses)	40	6	4	10%
Majors +1 further appeal loss	40	7	5	12.5%



- 5.21 Obviously the Service is doing all it can to defend these appeals and process new major applications promptly. Even if we win all our pending appeals it is possible we will be at the 10% threshold (as the number of major applications overall in the rolling 2 year period is falling).
- 5.22 Before any designation is confirmed, local planning authorities whose performance is below one of the thresholds will be given an opportunity to provide clear evidence to justify corrections to any data errors and to set out any exceptional circumstances (supported by evidence) which, in their opinion, would make a designation unreasonable. A period of at least two weeks (as specified by the department) will be allowed for this, and all such arguments will be taken into account before final decisions are made. Requests that exceptional circumstances should be considered are judged against two general tests:
 - a. whether the issue affects the reasonableness of the conclusions that can be drawn from the recorded data for the authority, over the assessment period; or
 - b. whether the issue had a significant impact on the authority's performance, for reasons that were beyond its control.
- 5.23 If we do breach the threshold we will construct a case against designation with potential mitigating factors. This would include reference to our exceptional performance in all other areas and the impact of Covid19 on reducing the overall number of major developments which has served to amplify appeal overturns.
- 5.24 The Secretary of State will decide once each year whether any designations should be lifted, at around the same time as deciding whether any new designations are to be made. Exceptionally de-designations may be made at other times.
- 5.25 In assessing whether a designation should be lifted, consideration is given to:
 - a. the potential capability of the designated local planning authority to deal effectively with applications for major or non-major development, as appropriate, in the future; and
 - b. the effectiveness of the designated local planning authority in dealing with the relevant category of applications during the period of its designation.
- 5.26 Soon after a designation is made the local planning authority is expected to prepare an action plan addressing areas of weakness that it identifies as having contributed to its under-performance. In doing so the authority should draw upon sector support, particularly any support that is available through programmes funded by the Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government. The authority will need to agree the action plan with the Department.
- 5.27 A designation will be revoked if the Secretary of State is satisfied that:



- a. the designated local planning authority has provided adequate evidence of sufficient improvement against areas of weakness identified in an initial assessment of its performance; and provided that the designated local planning authority,
- b. would not, at the time that decisions about de-designation are made, remain eligible for designation on the basis of the data available at the time;
- c. has completed, within the timescale specified, any administrative tasks required of the authority in association with applications made directly to the Secretary of State in the area, in at least 80 per cent of cases during the designation period; and
- d. has not, in the view of the Secretary of State, caused unreasonable delay in progressing and signing any section 106 agreements associated with applications submitted directly to him during the designation period.
- 5.28 If, having considered these criteria, the Secretary of State concludes that the designation should remain in place, the local planning authority will be given at least two weeks to set out any exceptional circumstances (supported by evidence) which, in its opinion, would make a continued designation unreasonable.

Planning Enforcement

- Enforcement complaints received during 2020/21 (1st April 15th December): 591
- Enforcement notices served during 2020/21 (1st April 15th December): 54
- 5.29 Of the complaints 87% were acknowledged within one working day of receipt. This measure is down from 93%, and a result of software (Iplan) outages and a rising number of incomplete / incomprehensible complaints.
- 5.30 The Planning Enforcement Team continues to seek prosecutions against owners who have failed to comply with existing enforcement notices. In addition to the prosecution proceedings, there has been a concerted effort in securing confiscation sentences under the provisions of Proceeds of Crime Act (POCA) 2002. There are a number of prosecutions which are still going through the courts (these take a significant length of time).
- 5.31 In December 2020 officers secured a confiscation order of just over £500k against a landlord who had deliberately refused to comply with the requirements of two enforcement notices at two of his properties. The Council will get a share of that amount (approx 1/3) with the largest share going to central government.
- 5.32 Planning Enforcement officers have a backlog of site visits as a result of the Covid-19 lockdowns. In addition, there are ongoing site access issues partly due to the need for social distancing which might impact on service delivery for the remainder of the year.
- 5.33 As per government advice, the enforcement team is taking a positive approach to allowing retail premises (when not affected by Tier 4 Covid-19 restrictions) to



stay open longer and take deliveries later during December and January, to assist with social distancing on high streets.

Member Training & Site Visits

5.34 The last Member visit, to the Thamesmead estate, took place in October 2019. A daylight/sunlight training session took place in January 2020 and general principles and decision-making session in June 2020. Further training sessions are being planned for January (Secure by Design) and March TBC. A learning site visit is unlikely to take place in 2020/21.

Planning Policy & Infrastructure

New Local Plan

5.35 The timetable for preparing the **New Local Plan** is set out in the table below.

Document	Regulation	Date
New Local Plan First	Reg 18	November 2020-
Steps Engagement		February 2021
consultation		
Draft Local Plan	Reg 18	2021
consultation		
Proposed Submission	Reg 19	2021
Local Plan		
consultation		
Submission &	Reg 22-25	2021/22
Examination		
Adoption	Reg 26	2022

- 5.36 On 13 October 2020 Cabinet approved consultation on the **New Local Plan First Steps Engagement document**. The consultation was launched on 16
 November 2020 and runs for 11 weeks until 1 February 2021. Alongside the
 First Steps Engagement document the council is also consulting on an **Integrated Impact Assessment Scoping Report** and is carrying out a **Call for Sites.**
- 5.37 A comprehensive **Communications and Engagement Plan** has been prepared to ensure compliance with the Statement of Community Involvement (SCI) and support the objective of achieving effective, representative engagement with the public and key stakeholders. During December the Planning Policy Team continued working with key partners to ensure broad engagement on the New Local Plan, including the Haringey Youth Advisory Board, High Streets Network, and the Voluntary and Community Sector through the Bridge Renewal Trust. The Planning Policy Team held 6 virtual consultation events during December 2020 and a further 12 will take place during January 2021 along with more targeted engagement in schools.
- 5.38 In line with national policy and guidance the New Local Plan must be informed and supported by a relevant and up-to-date evidence base that is adequate and proportionate. Consultancy ORS is currently finalising a new Strategic Housing



Market Assessment (SHMA) and Gypsy & Traveller Accommodation Assessment (GTAA) for the New Local Plan and for the Council's new Housing Strategy, jointly with the Housing service. In January 2021 the Council will be commissioning an Employment Land Study, a Retail and Town Centre Needs Study, a Strategic Flood Risk Assessment and a Biodiversity/Sites of Nature Importance (SINC) Review to inform the emerging Plan.

<u>Housing Delivery Test and the Presumption in Favour of Sustainable Development</u> (PIFSD)

- 5.39 The **Housing Delivery Test** is an annual measurement of housing delivery introduced by the Government in 2018. The Government's formal Housing Delivery Test results for 2020 have not yet been published but may be published imminently. The expected results indicate that the consequence of the 2020 Housing Delivery Test for Haringey is that the '**Presumption in Favour of Sustainable Development**' (PIFSD) will soon take effect. Should this be confirmed by the Government, this will affect how planning applications need to be determined.
- 5.40 Haringey's housing completions have been below a 75% Government 'Housing Delivery Test' threshold over the last 3 years. Subject to this being confirmed through the 2020 Housing Delivery Test measurement, in accordance with the NPPF, the Council's housing planning policies will be deemed out of date and the PIFSD will apply. The consequence of this is that national policy expects the council to grant permission for housing schemes unless:
 - i. the application of policies in the NPPF that protect areas or assets of particular importance provides a clear reason for refusing the development proposed; or
 - ii. any adverse impacts of doing so would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, when assessed against the policies in the NPPF.
- 5.41 The Council will be required to adopt this approach from the day following the publication of the 2020 Housing Delivery Test measurement by the Government. Paragraph 12 of the NPPF is clear that the PIFSD does not change the statutory status of the development plan (i.e. the Local Plan & London Plan) as the starting point for decision making. The law still says any determination on a planning application must be made in accordance with the development plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise. The NPPF is not part of the development plan but the PIFSD as part of the NPPF will be a significant material consideration. While the policies in the borough's development plan will still have primacy, the Council will need to give an increased amount of weight to the NPPF and PIFSD when making planning decisions.
- 5.42 The Council has already published a Housing Delivery Test Action Plan to set out what it is doing to boost housing delivery which was considered by Regulatory Committee in July 2020 and approved by Cabinet in the same month.



5.43 The other London boroughs predicted to also be facing the PIFSD when the 2020 results are announced are Enfield, Redbridge, Barking & Dagenham, Havering, Bexley, Tower Hamlets, Southwark and Kensington & Chelsea.

Other planning policy workstreams

- 5.44 On 26 October 2020 the Council responded to the Government's **Planning for the Future: White Paper consultation** setting out a range of concerns with the proposed planning reforms in the White Paper including impacts on resourcing and democracy, affordable housing/infrastructure delivery, and climate change mitigation.
- 5.45 On 30 November 2020 the Council responded to the Government's **Accessible Homes consultation** stressing the importance of the highest standards of safe, inclusive and accessible design of new development and indicating support for the Government's intention to raise accessibility standards for new homes.
- On 3 December 2020 the Government launched a Supporting housing 5.46 delivery and public service infrastructure consultation. This seeks views on a proposed new permitted development right for the change of use from Commercial, Business and Service use (Class E) to residential (Class C3) to create new homes, measures to support public service infrastructure through the planning system, and the approach to simplifying and consolidating existing permitted development rights following changes to the Use Classes Order. The Government introduced a new Commercial, Business and Service use class (Class E) on 1 September 2020 grouping together a range of uses commonly found on high streets and town centres and providing for movement between such uses without the need for a planning application (while such uses are often found in town centres, in practice the use classes apply everywhere). Having simplified the change of use between retail, commercial and leisure uses, the Government now wants to build on this providing further flexibility to allow this broader range of uses to change to residential use. Current permitted development rights already provide for shops, financial and professional services, and offices to change to residential use. It is now proposed to draw these together into a single right that provides for the change of use from any use within the Commercial, Business and Service use class (Class E) to residential (Class C3). This proposal is of significant concern to officers and a robust Council response will be submitted to the consultation ahead of the 28 January 2021 deadline.
- 5.47 In December 2020 the **New London Plan** moved closer to being finalised following an exchange of correspondence between the Mayor of London and the Secretary of State. On 21 December 2020 the Mayor published a 'Publication London Plan' and submitted it to the Secretary of State for his agreement ahead of the Mayor publishing the final London Plan, expected around February 2021.
- 5.48 The **North London Waste Plan (NLWP)** examination hearings took place on 20-21 November 2019. In response to the issues raised in the examination, the NLWP team prepared a schedule of proposed modifications to the Plan which were consulted on from 29 October 2020 to 10 December 2020. The representations received have been analysed and together with the NLWP



- team's responses will be submitted to the Inspector for consideration. The Inspector will then prepare his report on the soundness of the Plan which will be issued in due course and if positive, will allow adoption later in 2021.
- 5.49 Consultation was carried out on the **Draft Highgate School Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)** from 26 October to 21 December 2020. Virtual consultation events were held on 25 November and 9 December 2020 comprising an officer presentation and a moderated question and answer session. The presentation and a summary of the questions and answers has been published on the council's website.
- 5.50 An **Article 4 Direction** to prevent changes of use from office to residential without planning permission was agreed by Cabinet in March 2020 and legally 'made' on 16 April 2020. Consultation on the direction ran from 26 June to 7 August 2020 following a delay due to the Covid-19 crisis. The consultation responses are currently being collated and analysed. Subject to this analysis the Direction could take effect in June 2021. It is possible that the Government's new proposed Class E to Residential permitted development right could have implications for confirmation of the direction.
- 5.51 On 17 December 2020 the Council confirmed three new **Article 4 Directions** to withdraw permitted development rights in the following **Conservation Areas: Noel Park, Peabody Cottages and Tower Gardens**. The directions came into effect on 21 December 2020 and replaced the previous directions which were each over a decade old. Having regard to consultation feedback, the decision was taken not to confirm the new direction for the **Rookfield Estate**. This decision will enable the Council to give further consideration to the scope of the replacement Article 4 Direction and will allow regard to be had to the Rookfield Estate Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Plan (CAAMP) which is currently being drafted and is due for consultation and completion in 2021. The existing Article 4 Direction for the Rookfield Estate will remain in effect and continue to provide protection against some of the potential harms from permitted development.
- 5.52 An eight week consultation from 18 December 2019 to 11 February 2020 on the CIL Partial Review: Draft Charging Schedule (DCS) proposed increasing the CIL rate for residential development in the eastern zone of the borough from £15 per square metre to £50. The DCS also proposed an increase in the CIL rate for student accommodation from £15 to £85 and to introduce CIL charges for two new specialist housing uses which are Build to Rent at a rate of £100 and warehouse living at £130. The next stage is for the DCS to be submitted for independent examination and, subject to that examination being successful, will require final approval by the Council to take effect in 2021. A key issue that will be scrutinised as part of the examination will be the financial viability of the increased charges, particularly in the context of the Covid-19 crisis and the consequential economic impact and uncertainty.
- 5.53 Cabinet on 8 December 2020 approved £14.6m of **Strategic CIL (SCIL)** monies being spent on a range of projects:
 - Wood Green Youth Space £940,000
 - School Streets £1.500,000



- Active Life in Parks £500,000
- Tottenham High Road Strategy £342,000
- Tottenham High Road & Bruce Grove Station £569,000
- Turnpike Lane Improvement Project £600,000
- Penstock Tunnel and Public Space £134,000
- Wood Green Common / Barratt Gardens / Tower Terrace £750.000
- Wood Green Decentralised Energy Network (DEN) £650,000
- Tottenham Hale DEN £1,500,000
- North Tottenham DEN £1,400,000
- Good Economy Recovery Plan:
 - Making High Streets Fit for Purpose £500,000
 - Market Trading Investment £60,000
 - Streetspace Plan projects for Walking & Cycling £5,100,000
 - 'Welcome Back' to town centres signage / commissions at key gateways £100,000
- 5.54 The **Authority Monitoring Report (AMR)** 2018-19 was published in January 2020 and was subsequently reported to Regulatory Committee for information. The Planning Policy Team has started preparing the AMR 2019-20 which will be published in early 2021.

Building Control

- Fee earning applications received 2020/21 (Apr Dec*) 1134
- Fee earning applications received 2019/20 (Apr Dec*) 1683
- Fee income from applications 2020/21 (Apr Dec*) £421K
- Fee income from applications 2019/20 (Apr Dec*) £462K
- Site inspections 2020/21 (Apr Dec*) 3,743
- Site inspections 2019/20 (Apr Dec*) 4,793
 *September = 20 December
- 5.55 Fee earning applications over the corresponding period still show a decrease overall due to Covid-19, although the fee income is better and recovering due to the type of work that we are currently doing and it is still hoped that some of this income deficit can be recouped via the Government's income compensation scheme. Building Control continues to be asked to check applications outside of our borough (a sign that we are considered contactable, approachable and reliable), although we have to be careful in not taking on more applications than we can service, especially as the work on the major sites in the borough is becoming more surveyor intensive, requiring more and more inspections. Fee income looks positive, partly due to larger projects, such as Clarendon Road and Tottenham Hale schemes being on site. The new THFC Stadium has now hosted a number of events (including a number of matches 'Behind Closed Doors' as part of the Premier League/Government's 'Project Restart' initiative). The permanent Safety Certificate has now been issued to THFC and they held two events with 2,000 fans although this was short lived as the borough went to Tier 3 and subsequently Tier 4 Covid-19 restrictions. Building Control continues to be a key link between the Council and THFC.
- 5.56 Dangerous Structures have as always been ever prevalent, both within normal office hours and outside office hours with the team being called upon over 135



times so far since 1st April, including several major dangerous structures that have been classed as major incidents. During this period we have also served 3 formal Dangerous Structure Notices.

- 5.57 BC consult continues to grow in stature providing affordable expert advice to other Council services. This advice ranges from party wall agreements to feasibility studies to structural surveys to structural repairs to bridge inspections and strengthening to highways related works and so on. The consultancy work continues to grow and is widely used by colleagues in Homes for Haringey and continues to be respected throughout the Council. This growth has also been reflected in the fee income for this service, with the gross income for this area of work continuing to be around £400K. This continues to be a growth area of work and may need additional staff in the coming months.
- 5.58 In July 2020 the Government issued the draft Building Safety Bill. The Government accepted the recommendations of the Hackitt Review and this draft Bill, which, alongside the existing Fire Safety Bill and fire safety consultation will set out the biggest improvements to building safety in nearly 40 years. The Bill has passed through the pre-legislative scrutiny stage and the MP's raised around 40 points the most interesting one questioning why the option to choose your Building Control provider has not been totally removed? Haringey Building Control along with colleagues across London has already developed an action plan that we are beginning to action that has put us in a very good position to be able to respond to the proposed challenges, this includes implementing ISO Standards that have been developed on our behalf by Local Authority Building Control (LABC), signing up to the LABC fire safety validation process, holding meetings with both the London Fire Brigade (LFB) and the Health and Safety Executive (HSE) and discussing schemes (from a fire safety point of view) with colleagues in Planning at a very early stage in the planning process. Building Control has successfully achieved the ISO accreditation and successfully passed the first audit with no non-conformities and all the surveyors in Building Control have successfully passed the Level 6A fire safety competency exams, which makes Haringey Building Control one of the most competent Building Control offices in the country, being ideally positioned for the challenges within the draft Building Safety Bill. The challenge for Building Control going forward will be retaining the qualified surveyors in a competitive market and recruiting apprentices that can then go on to become Building Surveyors who will succeed our senior staff, who are all in a similar age bracket.
- 5.59 This year has been challenging for everyone, due to Covid-19. Clearly applications, site visits and fees are down in this period, but are slowly continuing to recover. Other aspects of our work have continued to return to normal, with elements at the same level or greater than at the same period last year. The staff in Building Control have continued to carry out site visits and ensure that building works can continue throughout Haringey.

6. Contribution to strategic outcomes

- 6.1 The Planning Service contributes to all Priorities of the Borough Plan.
- 7. Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985



Planning Applications are on the Planning Register on the Council's website and the Local Plan Documents are also on the Council's website.



APPENDIX ONE

Definitions of Categories of Development

Major Development

- 10+ dwellings / over half a hectare / building(s) exceeds 1000m²
- Office / light industrial 1000+ m² / 1+ hectare
- General industrial 1000+ m² / 1+ hectare
- Retail 1000+ m²/ 1+ hectare
- Gypsy/traveller site 10+ pitches
- Site area exceeds 1 hectare

Minor Development

- 1-9 dwellings (unless floorspace exceeds 1000m² / under half a hectare
- Office / light industrial up to 999 m²/ under 1 hectare
- General industrial up to 999 m²/ under 1 Hectare
- Retail up to 999 m²/ under 1 hectare
- Gypsy/traveller site 0-9 pitches

Other Development

- Householder applications
- Change of use (no operational development)
- Adverts
- Listed building extensions / alterations
- · Listed building demolition
- Application for relevant demolition of an unlisted building within a Conservation Area
- Certificates of Lawfulness (191 and 192)
- Notifications
- Permissions in Principle (PiP) and Technical Detail Consent (TDC)

PS0

Approval of details, discharge of conditions, non-material amendments

